UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,788	05/31/2007	Manfred Michalk	5607-3	1394
22442 SHERIDAN RO	7590 12/10/201 DSS PC	EXAMINER		
1560 BROADV		LEE, SEUNG H		
SUITE 1200 DENVER, CO	80202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2887	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/598,788	MICHALK, MANFRED Art Unit	·	
SEUNG H. LEE	2887		
SEUNG H. LEE	[2007		

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Revi	ew filed Octobe	r 28, 2010.					
 Improper Request – The Representation 	Request is improper an	d a conference	will not be held for the following					
☐ The Notice of Appeal has☐ The request does not incl☐ A proposed amendment i☐ Other:	ude reasons why a rev	riew is appropria	ate.					
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.								
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.								
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from cor		im(s) is as follov	vs:					
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.								
4. ⊠ Reopen Prosecution – A of action will be mailed. No further a		•						
All participants:								
(1) <u>SEUNG H. LEE</u> .		(3) <u>Michael Day</u>	;.					
(2) <u>Steven S. Paik</u> .		(4)						
/Seung H Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2887	/S. S. P./ Supervisory Patent E: Unit 2887	xaminer, Art	/Michael Day/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2879					